UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,195	01/20/2006	Soo-han Park	0005.1003	9289
49455 STEIN MCEW	7590 08/10/200 EN, LLP	EXAMINER		
1400 EYE STR SUITE 300		PENDLETON, DIONNE		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptomail@smiplaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/565,195	PARK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DIONNE H. PENDLETON	2627
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 I</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	or	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the defended or b) for objected to by the defended or by the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Izumi (US 6,778,475).

Regarding claims 1 and 13,

Izumi teaches a photo detector for, when light emitted from a two-wavelength light source is divided into at least three light components to be reflected by an optical recording medium, detecting the reflected light components, the photo detector comprising:

a first detector ("210"-"212" in figure 9) divided into eight sections (see sections "a"-"h") detecting the at least three light components reflected by the optical recording medium to convert the light components into a first set of electrical signals;

a first calculating portion (the examiner has interpreted parts "55", "56" and "57" in figure 9, as corresponding at least in part to the "second calculating portion") calculating a first tracking error signal from the first set of electrical signals

converted by the first detector by a differential push-pull method (column 15, lines 44-47);

a second calculating portion (the examiner has interpreted parts "52", "53", "54" and "77" in figure 9, as corresponding at least in part to the "second calculating portion") calculating a first focusing error signal by an astigmatism method (see column 15, lines 39-41) and calculating a second tracking error signal by a differential phase detection method from the first set of electrical signals converted by the first detector (column 15, lines 47-53);

a second detector (parts "410" - "412" in figure 9) divided into four sections (said detector is divided into at least the four sections claimed, see sections "m", "n", "o" and "p") detecting the at least three light components reflected by the optical recording medium to convert the at least three light components into a second set of electrical signals;

and a third calculating portion (the examiner has interpreted parts "88", "89" and "90" in figure 9, as corresponding at least in part to the "third calculating portion") calculating a second focusing error signal by the astigmatism method and calculating a third tracking error signal from the second set of electrical signals converted by the second detector (column 15, line 47-53; column 16, line 51 through column 17, line 53).

Izumi fails to expressly teach that the third tracking error signal is calculated by a differential phase detection method. However, *column 15, lines 48-53* of the Izumi

reference discloses that the differential phase detection (DPD) method is well know in the art for calculating tracking error. Additionally, *column 13, lines 30-33* teach that the tracking error signal may be detected from a push-pull method OR from a DPD method. It therefore would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute a DPD circuit for the push-pull circuit, as suggested by column 13, lines 30-33 of Izumi, for detecting a tracking error signal from a CD. Or alternatively, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to supplement the CD - push-pull tracking error detection circuit with a DPD circuit, for the purpose of detecting a tracking error signal when a CD-ROM type storage medium is being used.

Regarding claim 2,

Izumi teaches a photo detector according to claim 1, wherein the first detector (210-212) comprises:

a first central sensor (" 210") having a region divided vertically and horizontally into four sub regions detecting a central light component among the at least three light components reflected by the optical recording medium to convert the central light component into the first set of electrical signals;

a first peripheral sensor (211) having a region divided vertically or horizontally into two sub regions (any two of "e-h"- "f-g") detecting a first peripheral light component among the at least three light components reflected by the optical recording medium to convert the first peripheral light component into the first set of electrical signals;

and a second peripheral sensor (212) having a region divided vertically or horizontally into two sub regions (any two of "i-l" – "j-k") detecting a second peripheral light component among the at least three light components detected by the optical recording medium to convert the second peripheral light component into the first set of electrical signals (see Figure 2, which teaches at least 2 regions in the second peripheral sensor).

Regarding claim 3,

Izumi teaches the photo detector according to claim 1, wherein the optical recording medium is one among a DVD-R, a DVD+RW, a DVD-RW, and a CD (see "1" or "10" in figures 2A and 2B).

Regarding claim 4,

Izumi teaches a switching portion (79) selectively outputting either the first tracking error signal or the second tracking error signal in accordance with a type of optical recording medium (column 16:30-35).

Regarding claim 5,

Izumi teaches that the switching portion (79) selectively outputs the first tracking error signal calculated by the first calculating portion when the optical recording medium is one among the DVD-R, the DVD+RW, and the DVD-RW, and wherein the switching portion selectively outputs the second tracking error signal calculated by the second

calculating portion when the optical recording medium is the DVD ROM (column 16:30-62 and column 17:40-53).

Page 6

Regarding claim 6,

Izumi teaches the photo detector according to claim 3, wherein the third calculating portion calculates the second focusing error signal and the third tracking error signal when the optical recording medium is the CD (see column 15:34-53 and column 16, line 51 through column 17, line 53).

Regarding claim 7,

Izumi teaches the photo detector according to claim 1, wherein the first detector is a DVD sensor and the second detector is a CD sensor (column 20:34-40 teaches that detector (210-212) is for DVD use and detector (410-412) is for CD use).

Regarding claim 8,

Figure 10 of Izumi teaches the photo detector according to claim 7, wherein the DVD sensor includes a first central sensor (210) and first and second peripheral sensors (211,212).

Regarding claim 9,

Izumi teaches the photo detector according to claim 8, wherein the first central sensor is divided into four regions and the first and second peripheral sensors are each divided into two regions (figure 10 illustrates central sensor 210 having four regions,

Art Unit: 2627

while peripheral sensors 211,212, have a minimum of two regions, thereby meeting the limitations of the Applicant's claim).

Regarding claim 10,

Izumi teaches the photo detector according to claim 9, wherein a 0 order beam is incident on the first central sensor, a +1 order beam is incident on the first peripheral sensor and a -1 order beam is incident on the second peripheral sensor (column 18, lines 2-7).

Regarding claim 11,

Izumi teaches the photo detector according to claim 7, wherein the DVD sensor generates the first tracking error signal using the differential push-pull method when the optical recording medium is a DVD-R or a DVD.+-.RW and the DVD sensor generates the second tracking error signal using the differential phase detection method when the optical recording medium is a DVD-ROM (column 17, lines 40-51).

Regarding claim 12,

Izumi teaches that the first tracking error signal is used for tracking a servo of an optical pick-up when the recording medium is a DVD-R or a DVD+-RW (column 23:31-27 teaches detecting tracking error for DVD-R type disks).

Art Unit: 2627

Regarding claim 14,

Izumi teaches the photo detector according to claim 13, wherein the first detector

is divided into eight detecting regions (210-212 in figure 9, see (a-h) and the second

detector is divided into four detecting regions (see "310" (m-p), or see "410"-"412" in

figure 10).

Regarding claim 15,

Izumi teaches the photo detector according to claim 13, wherein the first detector

is a DVD sensor and the second detector is a CD sensor (column 20:34-40 teaches that

detector (210-212) is for DVD use and detector (410-412) is for CD use).

Regarding claim 16,

Izumi teaches the photo detector according to claim 15, wherein the DVD sensor

includes a first central sensor ("210" in figure 9) and first and second peripheral sensors

(211,212).

Regarding claim 17,

Izumi teaches the photo detector according to claim 16, wherein the first central

sensor is divided into four regions and the first and second peripheral sensors are each

divided into two regions (figure 10 illustrates central sensor 210 having four regions,

while peripheral sensors 211,212, have a minimum of two regions, thereby meeting the

Applicant's claim).

Regarding claim 18,

Izumi teaches a photo detector comprising:

a first detector (the combination of "210", "211" and "212" in figure 9) detecting light components reflected from an optical recording medium and a beam splitter ("9" in fig. 2A, 2B) and converting the reflected light components into a first set of electrical signals;

and a second detector (the combination of "410", "411" and "412" in figure 9) detecting the light components reflected from the optical recording medium and the beam splitter (9) and converting the reflected light components into a second set of electrical signals.

Figures 10 and 11 illustrate that the detectors are separated by a predetermined distance (column 17, line 60). Though Izumi fails to expressly teach that the predetermined distance is in consideration of the beam splitter's thickness, it would have been obvious to calculated said predetermined distance in consideration of the thickness of the beam splitter, as well as in consideration of other characteristics of the optical system, since said beam splitter is on the transmission path between the optical storage medium and the detection plane, and any beam influencing characteristics of the beam splitter must be taken into consideration for the purpose of positioning the detecting elements so as to receive the light spots transmitted from the beam splitter.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,195 Page 10

Art Unit: 2627

Regarding claim 19,

Izumi teaches the photo detector according to claim 18, wherein the first detector is divided into eight detecting regions (210-212 in figure 9, see (a-h) and the second detector is divided into four detecting regions (see "310" (m-p), or see "410"-"412" in figure 10).

Regarding claim 20,

Izumi teaches the photo detector according to claim 18, wherein the first detector ("210"-"212" in figure 9) includes a first central sensor (210) and first (211) and second (212) peripheral sensors and the second detector ("410"-"412" in figure 10) includes a second central sensor (410).

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 rejected in the Official Action mailed 2/18/2009 have been considered but are not persuasive.
- 3. Regarding Applicant's Argument That Izumi Fails To Teach A First Detector Divided Into Eight Sections: The Examiner is not persuaded by the Applicant's argument since the twelve parts of the first detector of Izumi, include the minimum eight parts required by the Applicant's claims. The Applicant has fails to utilize language which would limit the first detector of the Applicant's invention to having only eight parts, and no more. Therefore, a detector comprising at least eight parts, is found to fairly anticipate the first detector of the Applicant's claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,195 Page 11

Art Unit: 2627

4. Regarding Applicant's Argument That Izumi Fails To Teach A Second

Calculating Portion Calculating A First Focusing Error Signal By An

Astigm8atism Method And Calculating A Second Tracking Error Signal By A

Differential Phase Detection Method From The First Set Of Electrical Signals:

As set forth in the detailed rejection above, the Examiner has relied upon elements "52", "53", "54" and "77" as those calculating portions which operates to generate a first focusing error signal by astigmatism method (see parts "52", "53", "54") and a second tracking error signal by a differential phase detection method (see parts "77").

- Detector Divided Into Four Sections: The Examiner is not persuaded by the Applicant's argument since the eight parts of the second detector of Izumi, include the minimum four parts required by the Applicant's claims. The Applicant has fails to utilize language which would limit the second detector of the Applicant's invention to having only four parts, and no more. Therefore, a detector comprising at least four parts, is found to fairly anticipate the second detector of the Applicant's claim.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,195 Page 12

Art Unit: 2627

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DIONNE H. PENDLETON whose telephone number is (571)272-7497. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30-7:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571-272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dionne H Pendleton/ Examiner, Art Unit 2627

/Wayne Young/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627 Application/Control Number: 10/565,195

Page 13

Art Unit: 2627